

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

- /1
~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/727,908	11/30/2000	Scott Johnson	PALM-3216 . US .P	3695
49637	7590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C. 9255 SUNSET BOULEVARD SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CA 90069			SHERKAT, AREZOO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Supplementary Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/727,908	JOHNSON ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) on, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	ensideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying the issues for	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendment canceling	
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•	•	
Claim(s) rejected:		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attached.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Notes.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Applicant argues that the focus of the referenced prior ads, namely Kikinis and Hudado, is different from the Applicant, and "there is no suggestion or motivation to combine them". Examiner respectfully responds that although claims are examined in light of the specification, Examiner shall not read the specification into claims. The combined disclosure of prior arts of record, namely Kikinis and Hurtado, read on claims as currently presented in the instant application. Hudado disclosese the tamper-resistant software technology of IBM on IBM Thinkpad laptop computers (C01. 87-88). Applicant also argues that the focus of Hudado's disclosure is protecting the céntent from being pirated through conditional/key -regulated access. Examiner responds that to prevent piracy includes preventing a user from accessing electronic contents on the electronic device.

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100